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## SECTION - A

Answers to ALL questions are expected.

## Suggested Answers to Question One:

(a) Examples of "good debt" for an individual:

1. Student loan: This is a good investment for the bright future of a child.
2. Housing loan (Mortgage): It will enable purchasing of a house. Monthly mortgage payment is better than monthly rental for the family which does not an own a house.
3. Investing in your own business: If your business does well, loan will assist for the growth of the business.
4. Buying an affordable car on lease or loan: This will affect the social status of a family. However, it is important that a person should be able to afford the loan repayment and running cost out of his income.
(b) Difference between savings and investments;

Saving is the process of keeping money aside for short- term goals. Savings are made to face emergencies and liquidity problems. Savings secure future life from financial issues under the situations where an individual or a business is unable to earn income evenly or face emergencies. Investment is a process of keeping money aside for long- term goals. Investment can be defined as "sacrificing present consumption for future economic benefits". Main purpose of an investment is to generate future income or increase of wealth.

## Suggested Answers to Question Two:

$\begin{aligned} \text { Length of working capital cycle }= & (\text { Inventory residence period }+ \text { Trade receivables collection } \\ & \text { period }- \text { Trade payables settlement period })\end{aligned}$
In days
Inventory residence period (Note 1) 71
Collection period of trade receivables (Note 2) $\quad \frac{64}{135}$
Less - Settlement period of trade payables (Note 3) (93)
Length of working capital cycle for the year ended 31st March $2015 \underline{\underline{42}}$

Workings (According to the question, it should be assumed that all purchases and sales are on credit terms)

## (Note 1)

1. Inventory residence period $=$ (Average inventory/ Cost of goods sold) $\times 365$ Days

$$
\{[(1,920+1,480)] / 2\} / 8,700 \times 365=\underline{71} \text { days }
$$

## (Note 2)

2. Collection period of trade receivables $=$ (Average trade receivables / Turn-over $\times 365$ days)

$$
\{[(1,050+2,720) / 2]\} / 10,680 \times 365 \text { days }=64 \text { days }
$$

(Note 3)
3. Settlement period of trade payables $=$ (Average trade payables / Purchases $\times 365$ days)

There is no value of the purchases given in the question. Therefore value of the purchases should be calculated.
$($ Cost of goods sold + Closing inventory value - Opening inventory value $)=$ Purchases

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (8,700+1,480-1,920)=8,260 \\
& \{[(\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{3 2 0}+\mathbf{1 , 9 1 0}) / \mathbf{2}]\} / \mathbf{8 , \mathbf { 2 6 0 } \times \mathbf { 3 6 5 } \text { days } = \underline { \mathbf { 9 3 } } \text { days }}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Suggested Answers to Question Three:

## (a.1)

| Cost components | Identification of irrelevant cost | Identification of relevant cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Material | Book value of 20 square meters Rs. 120,000 | Current market Value of 120 square meters required Rs. $5800 \times 120=$ Rs. 696,000 |
| 2. Labour | Required Labour hrs. (80hrs x 10Nos.) $=$ 800 hrs . Required labourers would be 5 nos. Cost of labour is (Rs. 60,000x 5) $=$ Rs. 300,000 | Each of the direct labourers involved for manufacturing is paid Rs. 15000, incentive commission. Therefore, total commission per order is Rs. $15,000 \times 5=$ Rs. 75,000 |
| 3. Overhead | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Fixed overheads }=\text { Rs. } 50 \text { per labour hr. x } \\ & 800 \mathrm{hrs} .=(\text { Rs. } 50 \times 800 \mathrm{hrs})=\text { Rs. } 40,000 \end{aligned}$ | Incremental $\quad$ overhead $\quad$ cost $=$ Rs. $25,000 \times 10)=$ Rs. 250,000 |


| (a.2) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
| Cost <br> components | Reasons to consider as <br> irrelevant cost | Reasons to consider as <br> relevant cost |
| 1.Material | Book value is historical, because it is <br> already incurred by the company. | The company produces boats for its <br> normal business. If materials available at <br> present are used for the new order, it has <br> to purchase a new, for normal <br> operations. Therefore current market <br> price should be considered. |


| 2.Labour | At present the company incurs labour cost for 5 additional labourers. Labour cost will not increase due to additional order. | Incentive commission is an additional cost on the new order. It is an incremental cost. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3.Overhead | Monthly production overheads of Rs. $3,000,000$ is fixed, and it does not increase due to new order. Budgeted Overhead absorption rate is calculated as budgeted overhead divided by budgeted labour hours determined on budgeted production. | Incremental cost of production overheads is ( Rs. 25000 x 10) Rs. 250,000 . |

(b).

Cost of the order

|  | Rs. |
| :--- | ---: |
| Direct materials | 696,000 |
| Direct Labour | 75,000 |
| Incremental overheads | $\underline{250,000}$ |
| Total incremental cost | $\underline{\mathbf{1 , 0 2 1 , 0 0 0}}$ |

Cost per Boat is, Rs. $1,021,000 / 10$ Nos.
Price proposed by the client
Loss
$=$ Rs. 102,100
$=\underline{\text { Rs. } 100,000}$
Rs. $\quad \mathbf{2 , 1 0 0}$

## Recommendation:

The company will incur a loss of Rs. 21,000 , if the boat order is accepted at the price of Rs. 100,000 . Therefore, it is recommended not to accept the order.

## Suggested Answers to Question Four:

(a) Expected Sales value

| Customer reaction | Campaign 1 | Campaign 2 | Campaign 3 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| High | 30,000 | 54,000 | 24,000 |
| Medium | 45,000 | 29,250 | 24,000 |
| Low | 4,000 | 3,000 | 12,000 |
| Expected sales units | $\mathbf{7 9 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{8 6 , 2 5 0}$ | $\mathbf{6 0 , 0 0 0}$ |
| Selling price per unit | 140 | 140 | 140 |
| Expected sales revenue | $\mathbf{1 1 , 0 6 0 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 , 0 7 5 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{8 , 4 0 0 , 0 0 0}$ |
| Less - Variable Cost | $(3,950,000)$ | $(4,312,500)$ | $(3,000,000)$ |
| Marketing campaign cost | $(6,500,000)$ | $(9,550,000)$ | $(3,450,000)$ |
| Expected net income | $\mathbf{6 1 0 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{( 1 , 7 8 7 , 5 0 0 )}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 9 5 0 , 0 0 0}$ |

(b) Campaign 3 should be selected as it gives the expected highest net income of Rs. 1,950,000/-

Answers to ALL questions are expected.
(Total 32 marks)

## Suggested Answers to Question Five:

## (a.1) Contribution per unit

| Details | Product X | Product Y |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Selling Price Rs. | 12,000 | 7,500 |
| Profit Volume Ratio | $25 \%$ | $32 \%$ |
| Contribution per unit Rs. | 3,000 | 2,400 |

(a.2) Contribution per limiting factor

| Details | Product X | Product Y |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Contribution per unit Rs. | 3,000 | 2,400 |
| Working Hours $-\quad$ Dept. P | 20 | 20 |
| $-\quad$ Dept. Q | 30 | 10 |
| Contribution per working Hrs. |  |  |
| $-\quad$ Dept. P | Rs. $3,000 / 20=$ Rs. 150 | Rs. $2,400 / 20=\mathbf{1 2 0}$ |
| - Dept. Q | Rs. $3,000 / 30=$ Rs. $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | Rs. $2,400 / 10=\mathbf{2 4 0}$ |

(b) (i)

Decision Variables:

1. Nos. of unit X to be produced per week
2. Nos. of unit Y to be produced per week
(b) (ii)

## Objective function:

Objective of the company is to maximize the contribution because it will lead to maximize total profit of the company.
We assume that company can produce and sell X numbers of unit from X product and Y numbers of product from product Y. If so,

$$
3,000 X+2,400 Y=Z
$$

(b) (iii)

Constraints in the form of equations:
Working Hrs. Department $P=20 X+20 Y \leq 12,000 ~ H r s$
Working Hrs. Department $Q=30 X+10 Y \leq 9,600 \mathrm{Hrs}$
(c ) Graphical solution :- Alternative method $\rightarrow$ Solution under simultaneous equations method

Constraint 1 - Working Hrs. of Department- $P$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{2 0} \mathrm{X}+\mathbf{2 0 Y} \leq \mathbf{1 2 , 0 0 0} \\
& \text { If, } \quad X=0 \\
& \quad Y=12,000 / 20=600 \text { Units } \\
& \text { If, } \quad Y=0 \\
& \\
& \quad X=12,000 / 20=600 \text { units }
\end{aligned}
$$

Constraint 2 - Working Hrs. Department - Q

$$
30 X+10 Y \leq 9,600
$$

$$
\text { If, } \quad X=0
$$

$$
Y=(9,600 / 10)=960 \text { Units }
$$

$$
\text { If, } \quad Y=0
$$

$$
X=(9,600 / 30)=320 \text { units }
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 20 X+20 Y=12,000 \\
& 30 X+10 Y=9,600-----2 \\
& 1 \times 1.5=30 \mathrm{X}+30 \mathrm{Y}=18,000--------3 \\
& 30 \mathrm{X}+10 \mathrm{Y}=9,600 \\
& 4 \\
& \mathbf{3 - 4} \quad 20 \mathrm{Y}=8,400 \\
& \mathrm{Y}=420
\end{aligned}
$$

when $Y=480$ substitutes

$$
X=180
$$



## Product mix for maximization of contribution

| Products | No. of Units |
| :---: | :---: |
| X | 180 |
| Y | 420 |

(d). Total contribution per week:

| Products | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{Y}$ | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sales Units | $\mathbf{1 8 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 2 0}$ |  |
| Contribution per unit Rs. | $\mathbf{3 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 4 0 0}$ |  |
| Total contribution Rs. | $\mathbf{5 4 0 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 0 0 8 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 5 4 8 , 0 0 0}$ |

## Suggested Answers to Question Six:

(a) Advantages on issuing debentures compared to a bank loan:

1. Maturity: If the company issues irredeemable debentures, they can use the funds raised on debentures for a foreseeable future.
2. Convertibility: Certain types of debentures are issued with the option of conversion into equity. If the company issues these types of debentures, the company can convert them to equity share holders avoiding the cash outflows on redemption.
3. Benefit on call option: Call option allows the company to buy back its debentures on terms of agreement before maturity date, when market price is low.
4. Floating interest rate: If the company issues debentures at floating interest rate, interest can be changed according to the interest as per rates in the financial market.
5. Diversification of fund suppliers.

## (b) Cost of Debt:

## Option 1 - Cost of redeemable debentures

| Details | $\underline{\text { Yr. } \mathbf{0}}$ | $\underline{\text { Yr. }}$ | $\underline{\text { Yr. } \mathbf{~}}$ | $\underline{\text { Yr.3 }}$ | $\underline{\text { Yr. } \mathbf{4}}$ | $\underline{\text { Yr. } \mathbf{5}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cash Flows Rs. | 102 | -15 | -15 | -15 | -15 | $-15-100$ |
|  | 102 | -15 | -15 | 15 | -15 | -115 |
| If discounting Factor is 15\% | 1.00 | .870 | .756 | .658 | .573 | .497 |
| Present Value | 102 | -13.05 | -11.34 | -9.87 | -8.595 | -57.155 |
| Net present value | $\mathbf{1 . 9 9}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| If discounting Factor is $\mathbf{1 0 \%}$ | 1.00 | .909 | .826 | .751 | .683 | .621 |
| Present Value | 102 | -13.635 | -12.39 | -11.265 | -10.245 | -71.415 |
| Net present value | $\mathbf{- 1 6 . 9 5}$ |  |  |  |  |  |

$\operatorname{IRR}=10 \%+\{16.95 /(1.99+16.95)\} \times(15 \%-10 \%)=14.47 \%$
Cost of redeemable debenture $=\mathbf{1 3 . 8 8 \%}$
Option 2 - Cost of irredeemable debentures

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{P} 0 & =(\text { Interest } / \mathbf{r}) \\
\mathbf{9 3} & =13 / \mathbf{r} \\
\mathbf{9 3 r} & =13 \\
\mathrm{r} & =(\mathbf{1 3 / 9 3}) \times 100 \\
\mathrm{r} & =\mathbf{1 3 . 9 8 \%}
\end{array}
$$

Option 3 - Cost of bank loan

$$
r \quad=14.5 \%
$$

## Statement of cost of Debt

| Options | Cost of debt |
| :--- | :---: |
| 5 year redeemable debenture | $14.47 \%$ |
| Irredeemable debenture | $13.98 \%$ |
| 5 year Bank loan | $14.5 \%$ |

* Cheapest cost of debt is the option 2 - Irredeemable debenture.
(C ). Weighted Average Cost of Capital (WACC)

| Capital <br> Structure | Market <br> Value Rs. <br> Mn | Weight of <br> Capital \% | Cost of Capital \% | WACC \% |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equity Capital | 250 | 71.43 | 15 | 10.7145 |
| Irredeemable <br> Debenture | 100 | 28.57 | 13.98 | 3.9940 |
|  | 350 | 100.0 |  | $\mathbf{1 4 . 7 \%}$ |

Or
$\mathrm{WACC}=(51.48 / 350) \times 100=\mathbf{1 4 . 7} \%$

## Workings :

|  | MV | Rate | Total Cost |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Equity capital | 250 mn | $15 \%$ | 37.5 mn |
| Debt capital | $\underline{100 \mathrm{mn}}$ | $13.98 \%$ | $\underline{\underline{13.98 \mathrm{mn}}}$ |
|  | $\underline{\underline{\mathbf{3 5 0 m}}}$ |  | $\underline{\underline{\mathbf{5 1 . 4 8 m}}}$ |

## Suggested Answers to Question Seven:

(a) (i) Statement of annual profit

Sales (144,000 X 700)
Rs.
Rs.

Less- Variable cost
Direct Material $\quad(144,000$ X 220) $31,680,000$
Direct Labour $\quad(144,000$ X 110) $15,840,000$
Other variable cost $\quad(144,000$ X 70) 10,080,000 $\quad(57,600,000)$
Contribution 43,200,000
Less - Fixed overhead (144,000 X 90)
Profit
30,240,000
OR SP $=\quad 700$
$\mathrm{VC} \quad=\quad$ (400)
Contribution / Unit $=300$
Expected annual profit $=$ Total contribution - Fixed cost
$=\quad 300 \times(144,000-90) \times 144,000=\underline{\underline{\mathbf{3 0 , 2 4 0 , 0 0 0}}}$
(ii) Break -even point in units

Break- even point in units $\quad=($ Fixed cost $/$ Contribution per unit $)$

$$
=\text { Rs. } 12,960,000 /[700-(220+110+70)]
$$

$=$ Rs. 12,960,000 / 300
$=\underline{43,200}$ pairs of slippers (Units)
(b) I. Required sales outlets to meet the annual demand:

| Annual demand | $=144,000$ pairs of slippers |
| ---: | :--- |
| Expected sales from one outlet | $=(3,000$ X 12$)=36,000$ pairs of slippers |
|  | $=$ Annual demand $/$ Annual sales per outlet |
| Required sales outlets | $=144,000 / 36,000$ |
|  | $=\underline{4 \text { sales outlets }}$ |

II. Expected annual profit under proposed arrangement:

|  | Rs. | Rs. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sales (144,000 X 800) |  | 115,200,000 |
| Less- Variable cost |  |  |
| Direct Material (144,000 X 220) | 31,680,000 |  |
| Direct Labour (144,000 X 110) | 15,840,000 |  |
| Other variable cost ( 144,000 X 70) | 10,080,000 | $(57,600,000)$ |
| Contribution |  | 57,600,000 |
| Less - Fixed production overhead (144,000 X Rs.90) | 12,960,000 |  |
| Fixed cost of sales outlets (Rs.330, 000X4X12) | 15,840,000 | (28,800,000) |
| Profit |  | 28,800,000 |

(c ) 1. New break-even units under the proposed arrangement:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { Break- even point in units } & =(\text { Fixed cost } / \text { Contribution per unit }) \\
& =\text { Rs. } 28,800,000 /[800-(220+110+70)] \\
& =\text { Rs. } 28,800,000 / 400 \\
& =\underline{\mathbf{7 2 , 0 0 0} \text { pairs of slippers (Units) }}
\end{aligned}
$$

II. Break-even number of own sales outlets under the proposed arrangement:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Break-even units } & =72,000 \text { pairs of slippers (Units) } \\
\text { Expected sales from sales outlet } & =(3,000 \times 12)=36,000 \text { pairs of slippers } \\
\text { Break-even number of own sales outlets } & =72,000 / 36,000 \\
& =\underline{\mathbf{2} \text { sales outlets }}
\end{array}
$$

(d.) Revised market price per unit under proposed arrangement:

|  |  | Rs. |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Fixed overheads under proposed arrangement | $=$ | $28,800,000$ |
| Add - Expected profit | $=$ | $\underline{30,240,000}$ |
| Expected contribution | $=$ | $59,040,000$ |
| Add- Variable cost $(144,000$ X Rs. 400) | $=$ | $\underline{57,600,000}$ |
| Required sales | $=$ | $\mathbf{8 1 6 , 6 4 0 , 0 0 0}$ |
| Revised sales price per unit $=($ Rs. 116,640,000/144,000 $)$ |  |  |

Answers to two (02) questions only are expected. (Total $=28$ marks $)$

## Suggested Answers to Question Eight:

(a) (i). Advantages of standard costing: - Any three (03) of the following;

1. It helps the management in formulating price and production policy.
2. It acts as a yardstick of performance
3. It reduces avoidable wastage and losses
4. It facilitates to reduce clerical and accounting cost and managerial time
5. It creates consciousness of cost control among the personnel , because it fixes standard for their activity and subsequently measures their performance by analyzing variances.
6. It encourages a forward- looking mentality at all levels of management.
(a) (ii). Limitations of standard costing: - Any three (03) of the following;
7. It may be costly and time consuming to install and to keep up to date standard costing system. It requires high technical skills.
8. Since it is difficult to set correct standards, it is difficult to ascertain correct variances.
9. As far as small business entities are concerned, standard costing is expensive.
10. Standard costing cannot be followed successfully in the industries which are subject to frequent changes that need constant revisions of standards.
11. Standard costing may not be effective in industries which deal in non- standardized products or jobs according to customers' needs.
(b) Direct Material Price variance:

Formula $=($ Revised Budgeted Price per Unit - Actual Price per Unit $)$ X Actual Quantity Used

| Material A - (Rs. $20-$ Rs. 21) X $15,100 \mathrm{kgs}$ | $=15,100$ (Adverse) |
| ---: | :--- |
| Material B $-($ Rs. $50-$ Rs. 48) X 7,700 Kgs | $=\underline{15,400}$ (Favourable) |
| Price Variance | $=\underline{\mathbf{3 0 0}}$ (Favourable) |

(c ) Direct Material Cost Variance:
$\begin{aligned} \text { Direct Material Price Variance } & =300(\text { Favourable) } \\ \text { Direct Material Usage Variance } & =\underline{6,600} \text { (Adverse) } \\ \text { Direct Material Cost Variance } & =\underline{\mathbf{6 , 3 0 0}} \text { (Adverse) }\end{aligned}$
(d) Computations:
(d) (i) Total Standard Direct Material Cost:

| Materials | Standard Cost Rs. | Actual Cost Rs. | Standard <br> Price Rs. | Variance Rs. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | $(680,400 \mathrm{X} 80 / 180)=302,400$ | $15,100 \mathrm{X} 21=$ <br> 302,000 | 20 | $400(\mathrm{~F})$ |
| B | $(680,400 \mathrm{X} 100 / 180)=378,000$ | $7,700 \mathrm{X} 48=$ <br> 385,000 | 50 | $7,000(\mathrm{~A})$ |
| Total | 680,400 | 687,000 |  | $(6,600)(\mathrm{A})$ |

## Workings:

Standard Material Cost

| Materials | Quantity <br> Kegs. | Budgeted Price <br> After Revision Rs. | Standard <br> Cost per <br> 5 Kg Pack <br> Rs. | Standard <br> Ratio |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 4 | 20 | 80 | $80 / 180$ |
| B | 2 | 50 | 100 | $100 / 180$ |
| Total |  |  | $\mathbf{1 8 0}$ |  |

(d) (ii) Actual Number of Packs Produced:
(Total Standard Cost of Material /Standard Unit Cost of Materials)
(Rs. 680,400 / Rs.180)
$=3,780$ Packs
(e) Computation of Variances:
(e) (i) Direct Material Mix Variance

| Standard of <br> Materials <br> Kgs. | Standard Mix on <br> Actual Usage <br> Kgs. | Actual <br> usage Kgs. | Difference <br> Kgs. | Budgeted <br> Price Rs. | Variance <br> Rs. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| A-4/6 | 15,200 | 15,100 | 100 F | 20 | $2,000 \mathrm{~F}$ |
| B- 2/6 | 7,600 | 7.700 | 100 A | 50 | $5,000 \mathrm{~A}$ |
|  | 22,800 | 22,800 |  |  | $\mathbf{3 , 0 0 0} \mathrm{~A}$ |

(e) (ii) Direct Material yield Variance

| Standard of <br> Materials <br> Kgs. | Standard Mix <br> Kgs. | Std. Mix on <br> Actual usage Kgs. | Difference <br> Kgs. | Budgeted <br> Price Rs. | Variance <br> Rs. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A-4/6 | $3,780 \mathrm{X} 4=15,120$ | 15,200 | 80 A | 20 | $1,600 \mathrm{~A}$ |
| B-2/6 | $3,780 \mathrm{X} 2=7,560$ | 7,600 | 40 A | 50 | $2,000 \mathrm{~A}$ |
|  | 22,680 | 22.800 |  |  | $\mathbf{3 , 6 0 0} \mathrm{~A}$ |

(e) (iii) Direct Material Planning Variance:
(Original Budgeted Cost per Unit - Revised Budgeted Cost per Unit ) X Actual Units (Rs. 190 - Rs. 180 ) X 3,780Kgs
$=\underline{\text { Rs. } 37,800 \mathrm{~F}}$


## Suggested Answers to Question Nine:

(a) Number of units sold during the month of May 2015

All sales were made with one month credit period. Therefore, total debtors at the end of May 2015 can be assumed as sales of the month of May 2015.
(Sales Value during the May 2015 / Sales Price) = Number of units sold
Rs. 1,200,000 / Rs. 50
$=\underline{\mathbf{2 4}, 000}$ units
(b) (i) Sales Budget:

| Month | May Actual Sales | June 2015 | July 2015 | August2015 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Units | 24,000 | 25,200 | 26,460 | 27,783 |
| Value @ Rs. 50 <br> each | $1,200,000$ | $1,260,000$ | $1,323,000$ | $1,389,150$ |

(b) (ii) Production Budget:

| Month | June 2015 | $\underline{\text { July 2015 }}$ | $\underline{\underline{\text { August 2015 }}}$ | $\underline{\text { September 2015 }}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sales in units | 25,200 | 26,460 | 27,783 | 29,172 |
| Less -Opening Inventory in <br> units | $(10,000)$ | $(15,876)$ | $(16,670)$ |  |
| Add- Closing Inventory in <br> units | 15,876 | 16,670 | 17,503 |  |
| Units to be Produced( in <br> units) | 31,076 | 27,254 | 28,616 |  |

## (b). (iii) Direct Material Purchase Budget

| Month | June 2015 | July 2015 | August 2015 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Requirement of Raw materials <br> @ 0.5 Kgs. per unit $\quad$ Kgs. | 15,538 | 13,627 | 14,308 |
| Less -Opening stock in Kgs. | 4,250 | 0 | 0 |
| Raw Materials to be purchased <br> in Kgs. | 11,288 | 13,627 | 14,308 |
| Cost of raw material @ Rs. 36 <br> per kg | 406,368 | 490,572 | 515,088 |

## (b). 1V. Cash Budget:

|  | $\underline{\text { Rs. }}$ | $\underline{\text { Rs. }}$ | $\underline{\text { Rs. }}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\underline{\text { Details }}$ | $\underline{\text { June }-\mathbf{2 0 1 5}}$ | $\underline{\text { July-2015 }}$ | $\underline{\text { August-2015 }}$ |
| Cash in flows |  | 0 |  |
| From Debtors | $1,200,000$ | $1,100,000$ | $1,234,800$ |
| Bank Loan |  | $\mathbf{1 , 1 0 0 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 2 3 4 , 8 0 0}$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 , 2 0 0 , 0 0 0}$ |  |  |
| Cash out flows |  | 448,470 | 502,830 |
| Payment to suppliers | 387,184 | 372,912 | 327,048 |
| Wages |  | 310,760 | 272,540 |
| Variable overheads | 440,000 | 160,000 | 160,000 |
| Fixed overheads | 160,000 | 13,230 | 13,892 |
| Sales commission | 12,600 | $\mathbf{1 , 3 1 5 , 3 7 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 2 7 6 , 3 1 0}$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{9 9 9 , 7 8 4}$ | $\mathbf{( 2 0 5 , 3 7 2 )}$ | $\mathbf{( 4 1 , 5 1 0 )}$ |
| Net Cash flow | $\mathbf{2 1 8 , 2 1 6}$ | 218,216 | 12,844 |
| Opening Cash Balance | $\mathbf{1 8 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 , 8 4 4}$ | $\mathbf{( 2 8 , 6 6 6 )}$ |
| Closing Cash Balance | $\mathbf{2 1 8 , 2 1 6}$ |  |  |

(c ). Four perspectives of the "Balance Scorecard System"

1. Customer perspective: Customers are highly considered when business performance is evaluated. Lack of customer satisfaction will adversely affect the future business performances. Target market and ways and means for the enhancement of business should be considered. The following methods of measurement can be used for the perspective.
a. Percentage of sales from new product
b. Overall customer satisfaction
c. Number of complaints
2. Internal Process perspective: Internal process is very important in respect of customer satisfaction. Procedures and processes for handling materials, production and quality control should be established in the organization. Key process to add value to customers should be studied in the perspective. The following methods of measurement can be used for the perspective.
a. Internal customer satisfaction
b. Number of warranty claims
C. Number of projects completed on time and within budget
d. Cost per unit
e. Productivity
3. Innovation and leaning perspective: This is very useful to develop the internal business perspective of an organization. New products, markets, and ideas are needed to achieve the competitive advantage and ensure the business growth and survival in the competitive market. The following methods of measurement can be used for the perspective.
a. Number of new products versus existing products
b. Number of employees who received training
c. Time to develop new product
d. Development cost on Sales
4. Financial Perspective: This reflects the results of the other perspectives. This is used for the purpose of performance management. Top management takes strategic decisions based on financial information presented to them. The following methods of measurement can be used for the perspective. The following methods of measurement can be used for the perspective.
a. Profitability
b. Return on investment (ROI)
c. Sales growth
d. Cash flows

## Suggested Answers to Question Ten:

(a) Cash Flows Rs.

| Year | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sales |  | 45,000,000 | 48,000,000 | 57,000,000 | 63,180,000 | 67,080,000 |
| (-) Variable cost of manufacturing |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $30,000 \times 70 \times 12$ months |  | (25,200,000) |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 32,000 \times 70 \times 12 \text { months } \\ & \times 1.08 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | (29,030,400) |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 38,000 \times 70 \times 12 \text { months } \\ & \times 1.08 \times 1.08 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $(37,231,488)$ |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline 40,500 \times 70 \times 12 \text { months } \\ \times 1.08 \times 1.08 \times 1.08 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  | $(42,855,402)$ |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 43,000 \times 70 \times 12 \text { months } \\ & \times 1.08 \times 1.08 \times 1.08 \times \\ & 1.08 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  | (49,140,861.24) |
| (-) Fixed overheads |  | (5,850,000) | (5,850,000) | $(5,850,000)$ | (5,850,000) | $(5,850,000)$ |
| (-) Annual service cost |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | $(200,000)$ | $(250,000)$ |
|  | 0 | 13,950,000 | 13,119,600 | 13,918,512 | 14,274,598 | 11,839,138.76 |
| Tax @ (prior to capital allowances) |  | $(3,906,000)$ | $(3,673,488)$ | (3,897,183.36) | $(3,996,887)$ | (3,314,958.854) |
| (+) Tax saving due to capital allowances (working 2) |  | 3,920,000 | 3,920,000 | 3,920,000 |  |  |
| Operating cashflow | 0 | 13,964,000 | 13,366,112 | 13,941,328.64 | 10,277,711 | 8,524,179,911 |
| Initial investment | 42,000,000 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scrap val; ue of existing machine | 400,000 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net cash flow | 41,600,000 | 13,964,000 | 13,366,112 | 13,941,328.64 | 10,277,711 | 8,524,179.911 |


|  | Tax written down <br> value | Tax saving @ 28\% |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Initial cost of Asset | $42,000,000$ |  |
| Capital allowance for year $1-331 / 3 \%$ |  |  |
| $42,000,000 \times 331 / 3 \%$ | $(14,000,000)$ | $3,920,000$ |
|  | $28,000,000$ |  |
| Capital allowance for year $2-331 / 3 \%$ |  |  |
| $42,000,000 \times 331 / 3 \%$ | $(14,000,000)$ | $3,920,000$ |
|  | $14,000,000$ |  |
| Capital allowance for year $2-331 / 3 \%$ |  |  |
| $42,000,000 \times 331 / 3 \%$ | $(14,000,000)$ | $3,920,000$ |

(b) (i) Payback period

| Year | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Cashflow for the year | $(41,600,000)$ | $13,964,000$ | $13,366,112$ | $13,941,328$ | $10,277,711$ | $8,524,180$ |
| Cumulative cashflow |  | $(27,636,000)$ | $(14,269,888)$ | $(328,560)$ | $9,949,151$ | $18,473,331$ |

## Payback $\quad=\quad 3.03$ years

(ii) Accounting Rate of Return $\quad$ Rs.

| Year | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Operating cashflow |  | 0 | $13,964,000$ | $13,366,112$ | $13,941,328.64$ | $10,277,711$ |
| $(-)$ Depreciation |  | $(8,400,000)$ | $(8,400,000)$ | $(8,400,000)$ | $(8,400,000)$ | $(8,400,000)$ |
| $42,000,000 / 5$ years |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net profit | - | $\mathbf{5 , 5 6 4 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 , 9 6 6 , 1 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{5 , 5 4 1 , 3 2 8 . 6 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 8 7 7 , 7 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 4 , 1 8 0}$ |


| Average profit | $=$ | $3,614,666$ |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
| Average Investment | $=$ | $($ Initial Investment + Scrap value) $/ 2$ |
|  | $=$ | $(42,000,000+0) / 2$ |
|  | $=$ | $\underline{21,000,000}$ |
| Accounting Rate of Return | $=$ | $($ Average profit / Average investment) $\times 100 \%$ |
|  | $=$ | $(3,614,666 / 21,300,000) \times 100 \%$ |
|  | $=$ | $\underline{\mathbf{1 7 . 2 \%}}$ |


| (c) Net Present Value |  |  |  |  |  | Rs. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Net cash flow (1) | (41,600,000) | 13,964,000 | 13,366,112 | 13,941,329 | 10,277,711 | 8,524,180 |
| Discount factor @ 15\% (1) | 1 | 0.86957 | 0.75614 | 0.65752 | 0.571753246 | 0.49718 |
| Discounted cash flow (2) | (41,600,000) | 12,142,609 | 10,106,700 | 9,166,650 | 5,876,314 | 4,238,024 |

$$
\text { Net Present Value }=\quad(69,702.53)
$$

NPV of the model (2) machine is negative and therefore the company should not go ahead with that investment.
(d) IRR of new machine Rs.

| Year | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Net cash flow | $(41,600,000)$ | $13,964,000$ | $13,366,112$ | $13,941,329$ | $10,277,711$ | $8,524,180$ |
| Discount factor @ <br> $12 \%(1)$ | 1.000 | 0.89285 | 0.79719 | 0.71178 | 0.63552 | 0.56743 |
| Discounted cash flow | $(41,600,000)$ | $12,467,857$ | $10,655,383$ | $9,923,162$ | $6,531,691$ | $4,836,848$ |

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { Net Present Value } & = \\
\text { IRR } & =814,941 \\
& =a \%+[\mathrm{NPVa} /(\mathrm{NPVa}-\mathrm{NbVb}) \times(\mathrm{b}-\mathrm{a}) \% \\
& =12 \%+[2,814,941 /(2,814,941-(-69,702))] \times(15 \%-12 \%) \\
& \mathbf{1 4 . 9 \%}
\end{aligned}
$$

e. Importance of the concept of time value of money:

Time value is based on the belief that a rupee today is worth more than a rupee that will be received at some future date. Capital expenditure is concerned with long run decisions where cost and revenue arise at intervals over a period.
Monies spent or received at different times cannot be compared directly; they must be equivalent to values at some common date. This could be at any time during the project life but appraisal methods which take account of the time factor use either now, the present value, or the end of the project as the common date. Both compounding and discounting methods allow for the time value of money and could thus be used for investment appraisal but on the whole discounting methods are more frequently used.
In general it is preferable to receive a given sum earlier rather than later because the sum received earlier can be put to use by earning interest or some productive investment within the business i.e. money has time productivity.

